

REPORT

Sediment Load Study Agri-Food Innovation Park, Kranji Road, Singapore

Submitted to:

CPG Consultants Pte Ltd

1 Gateway Drive #25-01 Westgate Singapore 608531

Submitted by:

Golder Associates (Singapore) Pte Ltd

18 Ah Hood Road, #10-51, Hiap Hoe Building at Zhongshan Park, Singapore 329983

+65 6546 6318

20434030-R002-Rev6

05 Sep 2022



Distribution List

- Electronic Copy CPG Consultants Pte Ltd
- Electronic Copy JTC Corporation
- Electronic Copy National Parks Board
- Electronic Copy Urban Redevelopment Authority
- Electronic Copy Golder



Executive Summary

CPG Consultants Pte. Ltd. ("CPG") engaged Golder Associates (Golder) to undertake a Sediment Load Study (SLS) as part of the Environmental Baseline Study (EBS) for the Earthworks and Construction of Infrastructure at Agri-Food Innovation Park (AFIP) in Kranji Road, Singapore (the "Project"). The EBS comprises Fauna Baseline Study (FBS) (including development and implementation of a biodiversity monitoring program (BMP) (herein referred to in this Report as Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (EMMP)) and SLS. The SLS was completed in accordance with the following:

- Golder proposal CX20434030-001-Rev1, dated 17 November 2020, as approved by CPG
- Inception Report 20434030-R001-Rev1, dated 23 March 2021, as approved by the National Parks Board (NParks)

The Project was required to undertake an EBS and EMMP implementation as a result of the Environmental Impact Assessment process. This Report describes the modelling work carried out for the SLS. The SLS was performed by Golder as EBS Consultant and Hydroinformatics Institute (H2i) as SLS Specialist.

The SLS aimed to show the movement of sediments through Sungei Pang Sua towards Johor Strait and to compare it with a baseline data. Specifically, the SLS aimed to present, through sediment transport modelling, that the total suspended solids (TSS) will not be more than 10% of the baseline at the river mouth or any identified sensitive receptors at the river mouth or along Sungei Pang Sua.

Surface water sampling and analysis were conducted at two sampling locations. Water sampling was carried out on 23 February 2021 and 12 March 2021. The sampling locations were selected to get representative downgradient and upgradient data at the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua (SP1) and Discharge Point 2 (SP2), respectively. Surface water sampling was carried out during dry weather conditions ("dry weather") and immediately after rainfall ("after rain"). Water samples were collected by grab sampling. Collected water samples were placed in laboratory-provided sample bottles and sent to an accredited laboratory for analysis of TSS. Based on the laboratory results, level of TSS at SP2 increased by 97% after the rainfall event, while TSS level at SP1 decreased by 36% during the same rainfall event. Variation in the observations at SP2 was likely due to additional sediment load from the catchment area during rainfall. Sea water quality at SP1 was expected to be a more dominant factor of the governing water quality.

A 1D SOBEK hydraulic model with a transport module for suspended solids was used to model the movement of sediments through Sungei Pang Sua all the way to the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua. The study used an existing calibrated SOBEK model that was developed previously for a past project with JTC, with the latter's approval. The model simulated the worst-case scenario for SLS study, where the rainfall runoff from DP1 and DP2 discharges into Sungei Pang Sua with 50 mg/L TSS. There were two scenarios in this SLS study. In Scenario 1, the rainfall occurs at the time where the seawater level is the highest (at 6am to 9am). In Scenario 2, the rainfall occurs at the time where the seawater level is the lowest (2 - 5am).

Based on the sediment load modelling performed, the highest percentage increase of TSS concentration in Sungei Pang Sua is 19.2% at the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua when compared to the lowest baseline TSS concentration, in the condition where there is low seawater level (mRL). Although the increase of TSS concentration is higher than the 10% of the baseline at the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua, it only lasts for 30 minutes before the TSS concentration drops to less than 10% of the baseline in both scenarios.

The modelling study evaluated the impact on sediment load caused by the development of Kranji AFIP in the vicinity during construction and operational stages. Land clearing and earthworks during construction and



potential discharges from the facilities during Project operation may impact the TSS quality in Sungei Pang Sua. The result of the modelling study is applicable to both construction and operational stages, provided that the rainfall runoff from DP1 and DP2 discharges into Sungei Pang Sua with a maximum concentration of 50 mg/L TSS. The assumed concentration was applied as the worst-case scenario in the model, which is also the maximum allowable discharge limit to a watercourse as per local regulations. Based on the results of the SLS, the impact of the proposed development to sediment loading is Minor.

Table of Contents

1.0	INTRO	DDUCTION1
	1.1	Project Description1
	1.1.1	Project Construction
	1.1.2	Project Discharges5
2.0	SEDIN	IENT LOAD BASELINE STUDY
	2.1	Total Suspended Solids Data Collection7
	2.2	Model Set-up8
	2.2.1	Sub-Catchment Delineation8
	2.2.2	Rainfall-Runoff Node11
	2.2.2.1	Land Use Categories
	2.2.3	Implementation of 1DWAQ Module15
	2.2.4	Time Step and Simulation Period17
	2.2.5	Scenario17
	2.2.5.1	Setting of TSS Concentration
	2.2.5.2	Boundary Conditions
	2.2.5.3	Rainfall
	2.3	Results
	2.4	Scenario 121
	2.5	Scenario 227
3.0	IMPAG	CT ASSESSMENT
	3.1	Impact Significance Assessment Matrix
	3.2	Impacts to Water Quality Due to Sedimentation
	3.3	Mitigation Measures
4.0	CONC	LUSION

TABLES

Table 1: Surface water sampling locations	7
Table 2: Summary of TSS concentrations	8
Table 3: Critical shear stress and settling velocity for soil sample TW1B and TW1T	15
Table 4: Settling velocity and critical shear stress values	16



Table 5: Concentration [mg/L] of IM1 and IM2 implemented in Scenario 1	18
Table 6: : Concentration [mg/L] of IM1 and IM2 implemented in Scenario 2	18
Table 7: Percentage increase of TSS concentration in Sungei Pang Sua compared to the baseline concentration	26
Table 8: Percentage increase of TSS concentration in Sungei Pang Sua compared to the baseline concentration (Scenario 2)	28
Table 9: Impact Significance assessment matrix	32
Table 10: Impact Consequence matrix	32
Table 11: Receptor sensitivity classification	32
Table 12: Impact intensity classification	32
Table 13: Likelihood classification	32
Table 14: Assessed Impact During Construction and Operation Phases – Increased TSS levels in Sungei Pang Sua	33
Table 15: Controls and mitigation measures for identified environmental impact	34

FIGURES

Figure 1: AFIP Phase 1	2
Figure 2: AFIP Phase I (Project area)	3
Figure 3: Layout of Project Area ECM Systems	4
Figure 4: Flow of water in Sungei Pang Sua to the mudflat at the river mouth (red solid line) and Project (yellow dashed line)	area 5
Figure 5: Locations of Discharge Point 1 and Point 2	6
Figure 6: Surface water sampling locations	7
Figure 7: Original sub-catchment delineation in existing SOBEK model	9
Figure 8: Project area catchment area	10
Figure 9: Refined sub-catchment delineation	11
Figure 10: SOBEK schematization in Sg. Kadut catchment	12
Figure 11: Land use categories in the vicinity of the Project area as defined in SOBEK	13
Figure 12: Example of land-use implementation for a rainfall-runoff node in SOBEK	13
Figure 13: Example of special area and relevant parameter coefficients are defined in SOBEK	14
Figure 14: Discharge [m ³ /s] from DP1 and DP2, similar to the calculated values from Rational method	15
Figure 15: Particle size distribution curve used to calculate the settling velocity and critical shear stress f model input	or the
Figure 16: Seawater level [mRL] with tide used in Scenarios 1 and 2	19
Figure 17: Mouth of Sungei Pang Sua represented with a boundary node (pink square box)	19
Figure 18: 1 in 10-year rainfall derived from IDF curves, used in Scenario 1	20
Figure 19: 1 in 10-year rainfall derived from IDF curves, used in Scenario 2	20



Figure 20: Observation points for IM1 and IM2	21
Figure 21: IM1 concentration (mg/L) at each observation point in Scenario 1	22
Figure 22: Discharge [m³/s] at each observation point in Scenario 1	22
Figure 23: A closer look on the peaks of the IM1 concentration at Location 4	23
Figure 24: IM2 concentration (mg/L) at each observation point in Scenario 1	24
Figure 25: IM2 concentration (mg/L) at Location 1 in Scenario 1	24
Figure 26: IM2 concentrations at Location 4 in Scenario 1	26
Figure 27: Comparison of TSS concentrations of Scenario 1 with baseline concentration	27
Figure 28: IM1 concentration in Scenario 2 (seawater level with tide)	27
Figure 29: IM2 concentrations in Scenario 2 (seawater level with tide)	
Figure 30: Discharges [m³/s] at each observation point in Scenario 2	29
Figure 31: Concentration of IM2 (mg/L) at IM2 in Scenario 2	29
Figure 32: Comparison of TSS concentrations of Scenario 2 with baseline concentration	

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Method Statement for Cast In-Situ Drainage Works

APPENDIX B Estimated Maximum Sediment Load Calculation

APPENDIX C Photo Log

APPENDIX D References

APPENDIX E Chain of Custody Documentation and Laboratory Reports



1.0 INTRODUCTION

CPG Consultants Pte. Ltd. ("CPG") engaged Golder Associates (Golder) to undertake a Sediment Load Study (SLS) as part of the Environmental Baseline Study (EBS) for the Earthworks and Construction of Infrastructure at Agri-Food Innovation Park (AFIP) in Kranji Road, Singapore (the "Project"). The EBS comprises Fauna Baseline Study (FBS) (including development and implementation of a biodiversity monitoring program (BMP) (herein referred to in this Report as Environmental Management and Monitoring Plan (EMMP)) and SLS. The SLS was completed in accordance with the following:

- Golder proposal CX20434030-001-Rev1, dated 17 November 2020, as approved by CPG
- Inception Report 20434030-R001-Rev1, dated 23 March 2021, as approved by the National Parks Board (NParks)

The Project was required to undertake an EBS and EMMP implementation as a result of the Environmental Impact Assessment process. This Report describes the modelling work carried out for the SLS. The SLS was performed by Golder as EBS Consultant and Hydroinformatics Institute (H2i) as SLS Specialist.

The SLS aimed to show the movement of sediments through Sungei Pang Sua towards Johor Strait and to compare it with a baseline data. Specifically, the SLS aimed to present, through sediment transport modelling, that the total suspended solids (TSS) will not be more than 10% of the baseline at the river mouth or any identified sensitive receptors at the river mouth or along Sungei Pang Sua.

Results of the FBS is presented in a separate report.

1.1 **Project Description**

JTC Corporation ("JTC") is the Master Developer of AFIP Phase 1 (**Figure 1**), and CPG is the consultant for infrastructure development. The main construction contract for AFIP Phase 1 infrastructure works was awarded to Huationg Contractors Pte Ltd. ("Huationg").

AFIP is located within the greater Sungei Kadut area and will form part of a larger Northern Agri-Tech and Food Corridor. AFIP is intended to be a pilot cluster to catalyse innovation in the food- & agri-tech ecosystems and to bring together high-tech urban indoor farming, food production including alternative proteins, and associated research and development activities.

Based on the Masterplan 2019, AFIP Phase 1 consists of a total land area of approximately 25 hectares (ha), of which 18.75 ha is allocable.. The land will require existing high grounds to be cut and surplus earth disposed off-site, with the earliest site allocation expected to be in 2023. No fill materials will be brought onsite.





Figure 1: AFIP Phase 1

The Project area is bound by Kranji Close in the north, Kranji Road in the east, MRT track in the south and Sungei Pang Sua in the west (Figure 2). As of submission of this SLS Report, the construction works at the Project area has been put on hold since 16 February 2021. No activities were being undertaken at the Project area.





Figure 2: AFIP Phase I (Project area)¹

1.1.1 Project Construction

AFIP Phase 1 is planned for allocation to food- and agri-tech companies from 2023. The sequence of work for the infrastructure works in this development is as follows:

- Cutting of vegetation and levelling of land beside Kranji Road
- Cutting of vegetation and levelling of land beside Kranji Close
- Construction of new roads and associated roadside drains
- Diversion of existing 700-millimetre (mm) diameter raw water pipeline which cuts through the land parcels into the proposed 15.0 m wide Park Connector
- Laying and construction of new 800-mm diameter raw water pipeline, demolition of existing 700-mm diameter pipeline
- Construction of a trapezoidal drain

The proposed drainage system includes construction of reinforced concrete (RC) U drain, RC box culvert, RC sump and trapezoidal drain. The proposed construction sequence is presented in the Method Statement for Cast In-Situ Drainage Works, which was prepared by Huationg and approved by CPG on behalf of JTC in June

¹ Cleared areas presented in Figure 2 include both cleared and partially cleared areas. Land plot boundaries are indicative and figures throughout the report may show different variations of the plots.



2020, as presented in **Appendix A**. At the time of this SLS Report, construction stop work order was in place since 16 February 2021.

The Project area currently operates a total of five earth control measure (ECM) systems, each equipped with a Silt Imagery Detection System (SIDS), in accordance with PUB requirements. A layout of the ECM systems is presented in **Figure 3**. ECM1 and ECM2 discharge to Kranji Close while ECM3, ECM4 and ECM5 discharge to Kranji Road. The Project area does not discharge to Sungei Pang Sua during construction stage.



Figure 3: Layout of Project Area ECM Systems

1.1.2 **Project Discharges**

The SLS considered a study area comprising the stretch of Sungei Pang Sua starting from immediately upstream of the Project area to the river mouth (**Figure 4**) (herein referred to as the Study Area).



Figure 4: Flow of water in Sungei Pang Sua to the mudflat at the river mouth (red solid line) and Project area (yellow dashed line)

There are two runoff discharge points from the Project area to Sungei Pang Sua (**Figure 5**). Discharge Point 1 and Discharge Point 2 are located in the north and immediately upstream of the Project area, respectively. Both discharge points will be used during the Project operational stage.





Figure 5: Locations of Discharge Point 1 and Point 2



2.0 SEDIMENT LOAD BASELINE STUDY

2.1 Total Suspended Solids Data Collection

Surface water sampling and analysis were conducted at two sampling locations. The sampling locations are described in **Table 1**. Water sampling was carried out on 23 February 2021 and 12 March 2021. The sampling locations were selected to get representative downgradient and upgradient data at the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua (SP1) and Discharge Point 2 (SP2), respectively. The sampling locations are presented in **Figure 6**.

Table	1:	Surface	water	sampling	locations
-------	----	---------	-------	----------	-----------

Sampling Location	Description	Coordinates		
		Latitude	Longitude	
SP1	Sampling depth of 1 m	1.435898°	103.752871°	
SP2	Sampling depth of 1 m	1.422284°	103.753067°	



Figure 6: Surface water sampling locations



Surface water sampling was carried out during dry weather conditions ("dry weather") and immediately after rainfall ("after rain"). Water samples were collected by grab sampling. Collected water samples were placed in laboratory-provided sample bottles and sent to an accredited laboratory for analysis of TSS.

Based on the laboratory results, the level of TSS at SP2 increased by 97% after the rainfall event, while TSS level at SP1 decreased by 36% during the same rainfall event. The increase in the observations at SP2 was likely due to additional sediment load from the catchment area during rainfall, whereas at SP1, seawater quality is expected to be a more dominant factor of the governing water quality. **Table 2** presents a summary of the TSS samples and laboratory results. The laboratory results are provided in **Appendix E**.

Location	TSS concentration (mg/L TSS)		
	Dry Weather	After Rain	
SP1	28 (Sample ID 8002)	15 (Sample ID 8004)	
SP2	29 (Sample ID 8001)	57 (Sample ID 8005)	

Table 2: Summary of TSS concentrations

For quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) purposes, duplicate samples were collected during each sampling event. Sample ID 8003 was taken from SP1 during the dry weather sampling while sample ID 8006 was taken from SP1 during the after rain event. Based on the laboratory results, the relative percentage difference (RPD) between primary and duplicate samples ranged from 18% to 28%, which shows that field QA/QC meets the surface water sampling quality objectives and do not indicate any quality issues on the field sampling activities. The surface water sampling activity followed Golder's standard operating procedures and no deviations were reported.

Analytical results, including field QA/QC samples, as well as chain of custody documentation are attached in **Appendix E**.

2.2 Model Set-up

A 1D SOBEK hydraulic model with a transport module for suspended solids was used to model the movement of sediments from Sungei Pang Sua in the vicinity of the Site, through to the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua (**Figure 4**). The study used an existing calibrated SOBEK model that was developed previously for a past project with JTC, with the JTC's approval.

The following subsections present the modelling assumptions and approach applied in this SLS.

2.2.1 Sub-Catchment Delineation

The sub-catchment delineation from the existing SOBEK model was further refined around the Project area. (**Figure 7**).



Figure 7: Original sub-catchment delineation in existing SOBEK model

The Project area is divided into four parts (**Figure 8**) with each part having one ECM pond. Only runoff from two ECM ponds, which are presented in blue and orange areas in **Figure 8** are discharged into Sungei Pang Sua. Runoff from the northwest area (blue) is discharged into Sungei Pang Sua through Discharge Point 1 while runoff from the southern area (orange) is discharged to Discharge Point 2.





Source: CPG Figure 8: Project area catchment area

As the runoff from the green and grey areas presented in Figure 8 are not flowing into Sungei Pang Sua, these areas were not presented in the model schematization. In this regard, the existing sub-catchment delineation was refined to divide the parcel into two parts, including Discharge Point 1 (DP1) and Discharge Point 2 (DP2) (Figure 9), with areas of 7.03 hectares (ha) and 11.35 ha, respectively.





Figure 9: Refined sub-catchment delineation

2.2.2 Rainfall-Runoff Node

Catchment runoff is an important driver for and factor to determine sediment transport. Determining the amount of total runoff that will flow into the sea during a rainfall event is important to ascertain the concentrations of TSS in the water along Sungei Pang Sua.

In the SOBEK schematization, all sub-catchments in the Sungei Pang Sua catchment were presented with a rainfall-runoff node (**Figure 10**). Each blue node circled with red shows rainfall-runoff node and represents a sub-catchment. The settings for rainfall runoff node used the same settings from an existing calibrated model, with the exception of the Project area. The settings for DP1 and DP2 are redefined in this study due to the change in land use category of the Project area.





Figure 10: SOBEK schematization in Sg. Kadut catchment

2.2.2.1 Land Use Categories

Land use of the sub-catchments represented was defined in each rainfall-runoff node. There are up to twelve (12) different land-uses that can be defined in SOBEK (**Figure 11**). In the existing model, the land use for each sub-catchment was adjusted and modified to represent the current land use. Hydrological parameters such as storage, runoff delay coefficient, and infiltration were specified and calibrated for every land use category in the year 2017 (**Figure 12**).





Figure 11: Land use categories in the vicinity of the Project area as defined in SOBEK

Runoff Coefficient [1/mir	ן			
	Ru	unoff type		
Area type	With a slope	Flat	Stretched flat	
Closed pave	d 0.7	0.6	1	
Open pave	d 0.8	0.7	0.8	
Ro	of 0.8	0.5	0.7	
Unpave	ed 0.1	0.5	0.1	

Figure 12: Example of land-use implementation for a rainfall-runoff node in SOBEK



The land use type of the Project area during construction phase does not fall under any categories defined in existing model setup. The Project area's original land use type is forest, and the parameters for the construction phase were set based on this assumption.

Other hydrological parameters set for the Project area in the construction phase are as follows (Figure 13):

- Runoff coefficient 0.45, which is the runoff coefficient used for the calculation of estimated maximum sediment load from CPG (Appendix B)
- Storage coefficient 3mm (storage coefficient for forest land use is 5mm)
- Infiltration capacity 4.5 mm/hr (infiltration capacity for forest land use is 5 mm/hr)

The modelled peak discharge from DP1 and DP2 were 1.98 m³/s and 2.7 m³/s, which are aligned to the peak runoff calculation² provided by CPG (**Appendix B**) using Rational method as per the Public Utilities Board (PUB) Code of Practice (COP) on Surface Water Drainage.

Definition				
constuction	-	<u><u>S</u></u>	ave	Delete
Area Data				
Runoff coefficient:	0.45			
Storage:	3	[mm]		
Evaporation Factor:	1	[·]		
	Infiltration [mr	n capacity n/hr]	Time fac	ctors [1/hr]
_	Max.	Min.	Decrease	Recovery
Infiltration:	4.5		1 2	0.4
Г	Infiltration f	rom depression	ns	
Г	Infiltration f	rom runoff		

Figure 13: Example of special area and relevant parameter coefficients are defined in SOBEK

 $^{^2\,}$ 1.802 m³/s for DP1 and 2.796 m³/s for DP2



Figure 14: Discharge [m³/s] from DP1 and DP2, similar to the calculated values from Rational method

2.2.3 Implementation of 1DWAQ Module

The 1DWAQ module in SOBEK module was used to define the load of TSS in DP 1 and DP 2. The concentration of TSS in the discharge from the Project area was defined according to the maximum allowable TSS concentration (50 mg/L TSS) in the module.

CPG provided site investigation data³, which was the basis of sediment particle size distribution data for DP1 and DP2. Particle size distribution curves of Samples TW 1T and TW 1B were used as these were taken closest to the surface layer. This information was used to define the settling velocity and the critical bed shear stress in the model.

The critical shear stress values are obtained based on the particle diameters D_{50} (U.S. Geological Survey, 2008). A semi-empirical formula from Soulsby (1997) was used to calculate the settling velocity.

Table 3 shows the critical shear stress and settling velocity values calculated for soil samples TW1B and TW1T. The values from TW1B are used as input for the model because soil sample TW1B has smaller D_{50} , which leads to smaller critical bed shear stress (0.06 N/m²) and smaller settling velocity (15 m/d). Thus, this is the most conservative scenario to compare with baseline TSS value. Smaller critical bed shear stress means resuspension processes are easier to occur than sedimentation, and a smaller settling velocity will lead to a smaller sedimentation flux. The maximum settling velocity in the SOBEK 1DWAQ module is 10 m/d. Hence this was used for the model.

Table 3: Critical shear stress and settlin	g velocity for soil sample TW1B and TW1T
--	--

Sample no.	D50	Particle density [Mg/m³]	Critical bed shear stress [N/m²]	Settling Velocity [m/d]
TW1B	0.015	2.57	0.0630 -0.0826	15

³ Soil Investigation Works for AFIP, Kranji Road, dated 12 July 2019



Sample no.	D50	Particle density [Mg/m³]	Critical bed shear stress [N/m²]	Settling Velocity [m/d]
TW1T	0.15	2.65	0.145 – 0.194	1,424

In the model, the inorganic matter was divided into two fractions: IM1 and IM2. The baseline TSS concentration of Sungei Pang Sua is defined in IM1, while the suspended solids from the Study area is defined in IM2. **Table 4** shows the settling velocity and critical shear stress value for IM2 defined in SLS study.

Table 4: Settling velocity and critical shear stress values

Parameter	IM1	IM2
Critical shear stress (N/m²)	0.1 (default in SOBEK)	0.06
Settling velocity (m/d)	0.1 (default in SOBEK)	10





		Particle Size Analysis			lysis	
Sample No.	Depth (m)	GRAVEL (%)	SAND (%)	SILT (%)	CLAY (%)	Soil Description
TW 1T	6.00-6.30	14	40	21	25	Grey mottled yellowish brown, Sandy CLAY (MADE GROUND)
TW 1B	6.30-6.30	7	31	41	21	Dark grey, Organic SILT with organic matter
MZ 1	20.00-21.00	0	4	40	56	Yellowish brown mottled grey and pinkish brown, CLAY

Figure 15: Particle size distribution curve used to calculate the settling velocity and critical shear stress for the model input

2.2.4 Time Step and Simulation Period

The model adopted a one (1)-minute time step for simulation. The simulation period was 1 day (1st of January 2019).

2.2.5 Scenario

The model simulated the worst-case scenario for SLS study, where the rainfall runoff from DP1 and DP2 discharges into Sungei Pang Sua with 50 mg/L TSS.



There were two scenarios in this SLS study:

- Scenario 1 the rainfall occurs at the time where the seawater level is the highest (at 6AM to 9AM)
- Scenario 2 the rainfall occurs at the time where the seawater level is the lowest (2AM to 5AM).

2.2.5.1 Setting of TSS Concentration

Based on the data collected for this study, the TSS concentration in Sungei Pang Sua was 28 mg/L during dry weather. The model was set up to 28 mg/L TSS as the initial TSS concentration. After a rainfall event, TSS concentration in Sungei Pang Sua was as high as 57 mg/L at SP2, which was slightly upstream of DP2. The IM1 concentration for Scenarios 1 and 2 was defined as 60 mg/L for the runoff from all sub-catchments during the rainfall event. The concentration of rainfall-runoff water was reduced to 28 mg/L after the rainfall event, which meant that the later part of the runoff was assumed to be less turbid then during peak discharge.

IM2 was implemented to assess the impact of the runoff from the Project area with 50 mg/L TSS on the TSS concentration in the whole section of Sungei Pang Sua. Only sub-catchments discharge runoff through DP1 and DP2 were assigned with 50 mg/L IM2 concentration. It was assumed that this concentration is valid for the entire runoff from the Project's construction area.

Table 5 and Table 6 show the concentration of IM1 and IM2 implemented in Scenario 1 and 2.

Time	Other sub-catchments		Sub-catchments DP1 and DP2	
	IM1	IM2	IM1	IM2
01/01/2019 00:00:00	28	0	28	50
01/01/2019 06:00:00	60	0	60	50
01/01/2019 09:00:00	28	0	28	50
02/01/2019 00:00:00	28	0	28	50

Table 5: Concentration [mg/L] of IM1 and IM2 implemented in Scenario 1

Table 6: : Concentration [mg/L] of IM1 and IM2 implemented in Scenario 2

Time	Other sub-catchments		Sub-catchments DP1 and DP2		
	IM1	IM2	IM1	IM2	
01/01/2019 00:00:00	28	0	28	50	
01/01/2019 02:00:00	60	0	60	50	
01/01/2019 05:00:00	28	0	28	50	
02/01/2019 00:00:00	28	0	28	50	

2.2.5.2 **Boundary Conditions**

A boundary condition was defined in the simulation model. In SOBEK schematization, the boundary condition is represented by a boundary node. In the existing model, the boundary node was located at the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua (Figure 17). In both Scenarios 1 and 2, the boundary node was defined with the astronomical



tide (**Figure 16**). The IM1 and IM2 concentrations represented at the boundary node were set as 28 mg/L and 0 mg/L, respectively.



Figure 16: Seawater level [mRL] with tide used in Scenarios 1 and 2



Figure 17: Mouth of Sungei Pang Sua represented with a boundary node (pink square box)



2.2.5.3 Rainfall

The model was run with 1 in 10-year rainfall derived from the Intensity-Duration-Frequency (IDF) curves in PUB COP on Surface Water Drainage. The rainfall event starts at 6 AM and lasts until 9 AM in Scenario 1 (**Figure 18**) when the seawater level is 99.17 mRL. Rainfall event starts at 2 AM and ends at 5 AM in Scenario 2 (**Figure 19**) when the seawater level is 101.04 mRL. The timestep for the rainfall event is 10 minutes, the rainfall event peak is 29.2 mm, and the total rainfall is 131.2 mm.



Figure 18: 1 in 10-year rainfall derived from IDF curves, used in Scenario 1



Figure 19: 1 in 10-year rainfall derived from IDF curves, used in Scenario 2

2.3 Results

This section presents the results of the modelling at four observation points/locations along Sungei Pang Sua (Figure 20):

- 1) Location 1: 100m before DP2
- 2) Location 2: Between DP1 and DP2
- Location 3: 200 m after DP1 3)
- 4) Location 4: mouth of Sungei Pang Sua, where sensitive receptors are located



Figure 20: Observation points for IM1 and IM2

2.4 Scenario 1

Figure 21 shows the TSS concentration at each observation point. Concentration of IM1 at Location 4 shows a slower decrease than the other three stations after the rainfall event. The IM1 concentration at the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua returns to 28 mg/L after 7 hours from the start of rainfall event (around 3pm). The IM1 concentration in upstream of Sungei Pang Sua (Location 1, 2 and 3) drops to baseline concentration (28 mg/L) around 1pm and rises again to 36 mg/L when the tide level is high, and the remaining rainfall-runoff water is pushed back upstream. It is noted that Location 4 is very near to the sea and the entire water volume is replaced with sea water during the next tidal cycle.



Figure 21 and **Figure 22** show the IM1 concentration and total discharge at each observation point in Scenario 1. The simulation showed that the model, using the current settings for the boundary conditions, generates the suspended sediment concentration in the river during rainfall which was measured to be 57 mg/L. The simulation also indicated that during the rainfall event, the suspended sediment concentration downstream will increase to 60 mg/L (Location 4).



Figure 21: IM1 concentration (mg/L) at each observation point in Scenario 1



Figure 22: Discharge [m³/s] at each observation point in Scenario 1

Two peaks were noted at Location 4, which were not observed in other locations. These are:

- 6:35 AM first peak due to runoff from sub-catchment nearest Location 4 with high TSS concentration
- 8:07 AM second peak runoff from upstream sub-catchments reaches the section, and IM1 concentration is increased to 60 mg/L (Figure 23)



Figure 23: A closer look on the peaks of the IM1 concentration at Location 4

Figure 24 shows the IM2 concentration (mg/L) in each observation point in Scenario 1. Location 1, which is 100m upstream from DP2, has first peak during the rainfall event, and second peak occurs in the afternoon when there is high tide, that causes the water level rise and resuspension of IM2 (Figure 25).





Figure 24: IM2 concentration (mg/L) at each observation point in Scenario 1



Figure 25: IM2 concentration (mg/L) at Location 1 in Scenario 1

The highest IM2 concentration was observed at Location 3 at 2.97 mg/L. There were two peaks observed at Location 3. The first peak resulted from the runoff discharge from DP1 while the second peak resulted from the IM2 released from DP2, which was brought by the discharge flow to Location 3.

The peak at Location 4 was lower than that in Location 3. This is because the total volume of water in that section is higher, which diluted the concentration of IM2 and some IM2 sedimented along the stretch of Sungei Pang Sua. The highest IM2 concentration at the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua (Location 4) is 1.77 mg/L.

Table 7 shows the percentage increase of TSS concentration in Sungei Pang Sua from the baseline TSS concentration retrieved during the sampling events undertaken for this study. The calculations show that the highest percentage increase of TSS concentration (mg/L) was 11.8% at the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua (after rain).

Table 7: Percentage increase of TSS concentration in Sungei Pang Sua compared to the baselin	e
concentration	

	Baseline TSS concentration (mg/L)		Maximum increase of TSS concentration	Percentage increase of TSS concentration	
	After rain	Dry weather	(mg/L miz)	After rain	Dry weather
At the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua	15	28	1.77	11.8%	6.32%
Upstream of Sungei Pang Sua	57	29	2.97	4.73%	10.24%



Figure 26: IM2 concentrations at Location 4 in Scenario 1

Although the percentage increase of TSS concentration was higher than 10% of baseline concentration (1.5 mg/L IM2) at the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua, the period that the TSS concentration was higher than 1.5 mg/L of IM2 was only 30 minutes (Figure 26) throughout the day.

When the Scenario 1 model results were compared with the baseline model results, only a modest increase in suspended solids over the course of the rainfall event takes place (**Figure 27**). This is because the model baseline took into consideration that the peak suspended sediment concentration at the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua may be higher than what has been observed in the field.



Figure 27: Comparison of TSS concentrations of Scenario 1 with baseline concentration

2.5 Scenario 2

Figure 28 shows the IM1 concentration in each observation point when the rainfall event occurs at the time when the seawater level is low. As Location 4 is located at the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua, it is influenced greatly by the seawater level. After the rainfall event, there is resuspension when there is high tide at Location 4. Location 2 and Location 3 are also affected by the high tide but in a lesser degree compared to Location 4. The maximum IM1 concentration at the whole section of Sungei Pang Sua is 60 mg/L.



Figure 28: IM1 concentration in Scenario 2 (seawater level with tide)

For IM2 concentration, the maximum concentration is 7.81 mg/L at Location 3 (**Figure 29**). All locations are impacted by the tide and there is resuspension of IM2 when the tide level is high after the rainfall event (6 to 9 am). However, there is only less than 2.5 mg/L increase of IM2 caused by the resuspension process. The maximum increase of TSS in Sungei Pang Sua compared to baseline concentration (15 mg/L TSS) is 1.08 mg/L, which is 1.89%. The highest increase of TSS at the sensitive receptors, which is the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua, is 0.93 mg/L. The percentage increase of TSS concentration at the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua is 6.2%.

Table 8 shows the percentage increase of TSS concentration in Sungei Pang Sua compared to the baseline TSS concentration retrieved during the sampling events undertaken for this study. The calculations show that the highest percentage increase of TSS concentration (mg/L) is 19.2% at the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua when compare with the baseline TSS concentration after rain (15 mg/L TSS).

Table 8: Percentage increase of TSS concentration in Sungei Pang Sua compared to the baseline concentration (Scenario 2)

	Baseline TSS concentration (mg/L)		Maximum increase of TSS concentration	Percentage increase of TSS concentration	
	After rain	Dry weather	(mg/∟)	After rain	Dry weather
At the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua	15	28	2.88	19.2%	10.28%
Upstream of Sungei Pang Sua	57	29	7.81	13.71%	26.93%



Figure 29: IM2 concentrations in Scenario 2 (seawater level with tide)



Figure 30: Discharges [m³/s] at each observation point in Scenario 2

Although the percentage increase of TSS concentration (19.2%) is higher than 10% of baseline concentration (1.5 mg/L IM2) at the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua, the period that the TSS concentration is higher than 1.5 mg/L of IM2 is only 30 minutes (**Figure 31**) throughout the day in Scenario 2. This also shows that the highest percentage increase of TSS concentration during 1 in 10 years rainfall event is 19.2% at the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua when the rainfall occurs at lower seawater level or drain water level.



Figure 31: Concentration of IM2 (mg/L) at IM2 in Scenario 2


When the Scenario 2 model results are compared with the baseline model results, the highest peak increase in TSS concentration is 5% (**Figure 32**). This is because the model baseline takes into consideration that the peak suspended sediment concentration at the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua may be higher than what has been observed in the field.



Figure 32: Comparison of TSS concentrations of Scenario 2 with baseline concentration

3.0 IMPACT ASSESSMENT

An impact assessment was conducted after the baseline study. The impact assessment aimed to identify potential impacts that may result from proposed development and to evaluate the significance these impacts have on the various environmental receptors and ecological components (i.e. flora, fauna) within and in the vicinity of the Project area. An impact is thus defined as an entity that alters the integrity and quality of the ecological components. Integrity refers to the "coherence of ecological structure and function, across the whole area, that enables it to sustain the habitats, complex of habitats and/or the levels of populations of the species for which it was classified" (Leicestershire County Council, 1994).

In particular, the impact assessment serves to guide appropriate mitigation methods to ensure impacts are avoided or minimised (CIEEM, 2016).

For this EBS, impacts were assessed, with consideration of the construction methods and design options, using the Impact Significance Assessment Matrix. The potential impacts from and risks associated with the Project activities (construction and operation) were assessed. This was done before and after mitigation of the potential impacts by the project activities (i.e., on residual impacts).

3.1 Impact Significance Assessment Matrix

Identified potential impacts were evaluated based on their significance, which is a measure of the weight that should be given to each impact in decision-making, and determines if management or mitigation measures need to be implemented.

Impacts assessed to be of negligible or minor significance require no additional management or mitigation measures (on the basis that adequate minimum controls are already included in the project design). Negligible and minor impacts are therefore deemed "Insignificant". Moderate and major impacts are therefore deemed "Significant". Impacts assessed to be of moderate or major significance require the adoption of management and mitigation measures to minimise or reduce the impact to an "acceptable level".

An acceptable level is the reduction of a major impact to moderate post-mitigation. In seeking to mitigate moderate impacts, the emphasis is on demonstrating that the impact has been reduced to a level that is as low as reasonably practicable. It will not always be practical to reduce moderate impacts to minor in consideration of the cost-ineffectiveness of such approaches (because of diminishing return of impact versus cost). Impact significance will be assessed using the risk-based Impact Significance Matrix (**Table 9**) which considers two factors:

- Impact Consequence (Table 10): The consequence of an impact is a function of a range of considerations including impact spread, impact duration, impact intensity and nature, legal and guideline compliance. In evaluating the consequence of the biodiversity impacts, the following aspects will be taken into consideration:
- Receptor Sensitivity (Table 11): Categorises receptors according to their susceptibility to adverse impacts from the project's construction and operation. It also takes into account the ecological value of the receptor. Species of conservation significance will be considered of high ecological value, species not of conservation significance will be considered of medium ecological value, while non-native species will be considered of low ecological value.
- Impact Intensity (Table 12): Defines the magnitude of the impact and the status of the impact in relation to regulations, standards, and guidelines.
- Likelihood of Occurrence (Table 13): The likelihood of the impact occurring during the project construction and operation periods, which takes into account the probability of the event happening as well as the duration of the event. It is estimated based on experience and/or evidence that such an event has previously occurred.

Consequence	Imperceptible	Very Low	Low	Medium	High
Likelihood					
Unlikely/Remote	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible
Less likely/Rare	Negligible	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Minor
Possible/Occasional	Negligible	Minor	Minor	Moderate	Moderate
Likely/Regular	Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Moderate	Major
Almost Certain/Continuous	Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Major	Major

Table 9: Impact Significance assessment matrix

Table 10: Impact Consequence matrix

Sensitivity	Low	Medium	High
Intensity			
Negligible	Imperceptible	Very low	Very low
Low	Very low	Low	Low
Medium	Very low	Medium	Medium
High	Low	High	High

Table 11: Receptor sensitivity classification

Receptor Sensitivity						
Low	Medium	High				
Generally tolerant of and can accommodate physical changes or influences	Moderate to limited capacity to accommodate physical changes or influences	Very limited or no capacity to accommodate physical chemical changes or influences				

Table 12: Impact intensity classification

Impact Intensity						
Negligible	Low	Medium	High			
No measurable effect from current conditions	<10% change from current conditions	10% change from current conditions	>10% change from current conditions			

Table 13: Likelihood classification

Likelihood of Occurrence	Definition
Unlikely/Remote	Not expected to occur during construction and/or operation activities



Likelihood of Occurrence	Definition
Less likely/Rare	Would less likely or rarely occur during construction and/or operation activities
Possible/Occasional	Would possibly or occasionally occur during construction and/or operation activities
Likely/Regular	Would likely occur or would occur on a regular basis during construction and/or operation activities
Almost Certain/Continuous	Would be almost certain to occur or would continuously occur during construction and operation activities

3.2 Impacts to Water Quality Due to Sedimentation

This section describes the potential impact on physical characteristics of water quality from changes in sediment load that may occur during the construction and operation phases of the Project. Although there will be no discharge into Sungei Pang Sua during construction, potential discharges during land clearing and earthworks may affect the TSS quality due to natural drainage patterns during rainfall. Based on the results of the SLS, the impact in sedimentation is Negligible (**Table 14**).

Table 14: Assessed Impact During Construction and Operation Phases – Increased TSS levels inSungei Pang Sua

Impact	Reduced Wate	ed Water Quality (Sungei Pang Sua)					
Likelihood	Unlikely/ Remote	Less likely/ Rare	Possible/ Occasional	Likely/ Regular	Almost Certain/ Continuous		
	Increase in TS modelling	S at Sungei Pa	ang Sua is less likel	y/rare based on the	results of the		
Impact Intensity	Negligible	Low	Medium	High			
	Based on the s TSS concentra when compare is low seawate the 10% of the before the TSS	the sediment load modelling performed, the highest percentage increase of entration in Sungei Pang Sua is 19.2% at the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua pared to the lowest baseline TSS concentration, in the condition where there water level (mRL). Although the increase of TSS concentration is higher than f the baseline at the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua, it only lasts for 30 minutes TSS concentration drops to less than 10% of the baseline in both scenarios.					
Receptor	Low	Medium	High				
Sensitivity	Sungei Pang Sua has a strip of mangrove that is a highly sensitive habitat in Singapore.						
	Imperceptible	Very Low	Low	Medium	High		

Impact	Reduced Water Quality (Sungei Pang Sua)					
Impact Consequence	A high impact intensity and medium receptor sensitivity results in high consequence.					
SignificanceNegligibleMinorModerateMajorCritical						
	A less likely/rare likelihood and high impact consequence results in Minor signific impact.					

The modelling study evaluated the impact on sediment load caused by the development and operation of Kranji AFIP in the vicinity. Based on the modelling study, the proposed Site development will have minor effect on sediment load.

3.3 Mitigation Measures

The EMMP has been drawn to provide a framework for dealing with the risks of the Project development during the construction and operation phases. The EMMP provides control actions to be implemented for management of the impact identified from sediment loading (Table 15).

Proposed activity	Description of impact	Significance with current mitigation		Additional mitigation options	Residual significance after additional mitigation
Construction works and operational phase	Increased TSS levels in Sungei Pang Sua	Minor	•	Carry out regular TSS monitoring at discharge points Regular ECM maintenance and inspection to ensure optimum working condition	Negligible

Table 15: Controls and mitigation measures for identified environmental impact

CONCLUSION 4.0

The SLS aimed to show the movement of sediments through Sungei Pang Sua towards Johor Strait and to compare it with a baseline data. Specifically, the SLS aimed to present, through sediment transport modelling, that the total suspended solids (TSS) will not be more than 10% of the baseline at the river mouth or any identified sensitive receptors at the river mouth or along Sungei Pang Sua.

Based on the sediment load modelling performed, the highest percentage increase of TSS concentration in Sungei Pang Sua is 19.2% at the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua when compared to the lowest baseline TSS concentration, in the condition where there is low seawater level (mRL). Although the increase of TSS concentration is higher than the 10% of the baseline at the mouth of Sungei Pang Sua, it only lasts for 30 minutes before the TSS concentration drops to less than 10% of the baseline in both scenarios.



The modelling study evaluated the impact on sediment load caused by the development of Kranji AFIP in the vicinity during construction and operational stages. Land clearing and earthworks during construction and potential discharges from the facilities during Project operation may impact the TSS quality in Sungei Pang Sua. The result of the modelling study is applicable to both construction and operational stages, provided that the rainfall runoff from DP1 and DP2 discharges into Sungei Pang Sua with a maximum concentration of 50 mg/L TSS. The assumed concentration was applied as the worst-case scenario in the model, which is also the maximum allowable discharge limit to a watercourse as per local regulations. Based on the results of the SLS, the impact in sedimentation is Minor without additional mitigation and may reduce to Negligible with EMMP implementation.



Signature Page

Golder Associates (Singapore) Pte Ltd

Mitesh Kumar Senior EHS Consultant Benica Pasaporte Associate Director

GST Reg. No. 200408016C

Golder and the G logo are trademarks of Golder Associates Corporation



APPENDIX B

Estimated Maximum Sediment Load Calculation

Source: CPG





HUATIONG CONTRACTOR PTE LTD C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

METHOD STATEMENT FOR Cast In-situ Drainage Works

Rev.	Date	Description	Prepared	Reviewed
00	01 Jun 2020	MS for Cast In-situ drainage works	Wong Wai Yuen	Chua Ngee Hwee
01	09 Jun 2020	MS for Cast In-situ drainage works	Wong Wai Yuen	Chua Ngee Hwee
02	17 Jun 2020	MS for Cast In-situ drainage works	Wong Wai Yuen	Chua Ngee Hwee



HUATIONG CONTRACTOR PTE LTD C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

Method Statement for Cast In-situ Drainage Works

Contents

1	Purpose					
2	Types of RCU, RCS and RCBC					
3	Pre	paration works for drainage works4				
4	Equ	ipment, materials to be used for Drainage Works5				
5	Pro	cedure of constructing RCU, RCS, RCBC and Trapezoidal drain				
ŗ	5.1	Construction of RCU				
ŗ	5.2	Construction of RCS				
ŗ	5.3	Construction of RCBC				
ŗ	5.4	Construction of Trapezoidal drain15				
ŗ	5.5	Construction of C7 drain, silt trap and cascade drain17				
6	Dra	inage that involve over-pumping, demolition or ERSS (other than open cut)				
(5.1	Construction of 1500 RCU (E2 to E1) 22				
(6.2 Construction of 900 RCU (F1 to F2)					
Ap	Appendix 1:					
ER	ERSS for drainage works					
Ap	Appendix 2:					
EC	M for	drainage works				



C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

1 Purpose

Proposed drainage system involved in this contract include construction of reinforced concrete (RC) U drain, RC box culvert, RC sump and Trapezoidal drain. This method statement presents the proposed construction sequence, which subject to amendment according to the approved drawing by Consultant.

2 Types of RCU, RCS and RCBC

The type of drain, location and drain size is illustrated in Table 1:

No	Location	Road	Туре	Size (mm)
1	B3- island	Road 2	RCBC	600 x 800
2	B5-B6	Road 2	RCBC	1200 x 900
3	E2-island	Road 2	RCBC	600 x 800
4	B7-B2	Road 2	RCBC	1500 x 1300
5	B5	Road 2	RCS	1800 x 1800
6	B6	Road 2	RCS	1800 x 1800
7	B2	Road 2	RCS	2850 x 2250
8	B7	Road 2	RCS	2850 x 2250
9	B3	Kranji Road	RCS	2250 x 900
10	Island near B3	Kranji Road	RCS	900 x 900
11	E2	Kranji Road	RCS	2250 x 900
12	Island near B3	Kranji Road	RCS	900 x 900
13	D2	Future road	RCS	3000 x 2250
14	D3	Future road	RCS	3000 x 2250
15	B1-B2	Road 2	RCU	1200
16	B2-B3	Road 2	RCU	1500
17	B3-B4	Road 2	RCU	1500
18	B1-B5	Road 2	RCU	1200
19	B6-B7	Road 2	RCU	1200
20	B7-E2	Road 2	RCU	1500
21	E2-E1	Kranji Road	RCU	1500
22	B6-C4	Int. driveway	RCU	600
23	B5-C1	Int. driveway	RCU	600
24	B6-C4	Int. driveway	RCU	600
25	C4-C5	Int. driveway	RCU	800
26	C5-C2	Int. driveway	RCU	800
27	B5-C1	Int. driveway	RCU	600
28	C1-C2	Int. driveway	RCU	800
29	D4 end	Future road	RCU	3400
30	A1-A3	Road 1	RCU	900
31	A1-A2	Road 1	RCU	900
32	F1-F2	Kranji Close	RCU	900
33	Island near B3	Kranji Road	RCU	600
34	Island near E2	Kranji Road	RCU	600
35	C2-C3	Future road	Trapezoidal	1600
36	D1-C3	Future road	Trapezoidal	7000
37	C3-D2	Future road	Trapezoidal	7000
38	D3-D4	Future road	Trapezoidal	7000
39	Overall plot	Overall plot	C7	-

Table 1: Summary of RCU, RCBC, RCS and Trapezoidal drain



C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

3 Preparation works for drainage works

The following preparatory works shall be accomplished before commencement of drainage construction works:

- Precondition, Topo survey and Precomputation plan
 - Engage registered surveyor to carry out precondition photographic survey.
 - Engage registered surveyor to carry out topographical survey.
 - Registered surveyor to prepare precomputation plan of drainage alignment.
 - Contractor to get concurrence from SO on precomputation plan of drainage alignment.
- Cable detection and NCE
 - Contractor shall verify at the beginning of the work that there are no existing services running below or across the proposed drains by conducting cable detection.
 - Contractor shall highlight to the SO's rep where there are existing services affecting or would be affected by the proposed drains upon cable detection.
 - Engage LCDW to purchase services plan from authorities and carry out services detection on site.
 - o LCDW to apply NCE / NCD from authorities.
 - Trial hole is to be done at area to be excavated for drainage system if necessary.
 - Ensure all the affected services are removed or diverted with acknowledgement of SO, authority or owner of property.
- ERSS for the drainage works
 - Engage PE to design the ERSS required for construction of drains.
 - Submit the ERSS design to SO for review and subsequently submit to BCA.
 - Obtain clearance and PTW from BCA for the commencement of ERSS.
- Contractor shall verify on site that the invert levels of all the exiting drains against the levels as shown in the drawings are in order.
- Drainage diversion (if necessary)
 - Identify if there is any drain to be diverted to facilitate the construction works.
 - Engage PE to design the drain diversion and make submission to PUB, if necessary.
 - Obtain clearance from PUB and SO on the proposed drain diversion before carrying out any physical diversion work.
 - Divert existing drainage system to ensure continuous flow of water before demolition of the existing drainage system, if any.
- Demolition of drain (if any)
 - Demolish existing drain if it happens to obstruct the proposed drainage works.
 - o Demolish all the affected structures before commencement of drainage works.
- Ensure the area is free of any form of obstruction before excavating the trench for drainage system. Remove the obstruction with acknowledgement of SO, authority or owner of property before removing any obstruction of site.
- Remove the debris off site.
- If the existing ground is higher than the proposed platform level, excavate the ground to proposed platform level to facilitate the excavation of drain trench in future.



C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

- Mobilize necessary machineries to site such as excavator, excavator with LM certificate and vibratory roller.
- Equipment such as lifting gears, concrete bucket, air compressor and vibrator shall be onsite before commencement of drainage works.
- Material such as steel reinforcement (rebar and wire mesh), ordinary portland cement (OPC), hardcore, formwork, concrete spacer and any other material which deemed to be required to accomplish the drainage works are mobilized to site.
- Provide barricade and waning signage along the excavated drainage trench.

4 Equipment, materials to be used for Drainage Works

Machineries and equipment to be used for the operation are shown as below:

- a) CAT 312 / CAT 320
- b) Lorry Crane
- c) Tipper Truck / Dump Truck
- d) 4-tonne Roller
- e) 1-tonne Roller
- f) 10-tonne Roller
- g) Air Compressor
- h) Vibrator
- i) Concrete Bucket
- j) Water Pump
- k) Portable Generator
- 1) Electrical Hand Cutter
- m) Hand Drilling Machine
- n) Electrical Hand Breaker

Materials to be used for the operation are shown as below:

- a) Rebar / Wire Mesh
- b) Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC)
- c) Hardcore
- d) Formwork
- e) Concrete Spacer
- f) Curing Compound
- g) Bonding Agent
- h) Expansion Joint
- i) Grating with frame
- j) Aluminium Rung
- k) Sand
- l) Geo-textile
- m) Geo-composite
- n) Neoprene pad
- o) Building paper
- p) Galvanised rebar (dowel bar for approach slab)
- q) Quarry dust
- r) Graded granite/ Recycled Concrete Aggregate

glÕbal

C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

5 Procedure of constructing RCU, RCS, RCBC and Trapezoidal drain

Construction of drainage works are categorized in the type of drain as below:

- a) Construction of RCU
- b) Construction of RCS
- c) Construction of RCBC
- d) Construction of Trapezoidal drain

5.1 Construction of RCU

- a) Setting out of drain alignment
 - Surveyor to identify the drain location on site. The locations are marked on site using timber peg.
- b) Excavation of drainage trench
 - Excavator to excavate the drain trench up to the hardcore base level.
 - Ensure the proposed drain area is excavated to sufficient width and depth.
 - Implement earth retaining stability structure (ERSS) when the depth is more than 1.5m. Contractor have to implement the approved ERSS on site to ensure the stability of soil at both sides of drain trench.
 - Cut the ground to form the ERSS profile. All the excavated soil is loaded onto tipper truck and send to approved dumping ground.
 - Barricade the drain trench and safety signage is put up.
- c) Preparation of drain base
 - Compact the hardcore base level by using 1-tonne roller
 - On top of the compacted ground, put pegs at reasonable intervals to mark the proposed level of hardcore base.
 - Lay hardcore base and compact it using 1-tonne
 - roller. The hardcore base after compaction shall be the stipulated thickness as of drawings.
 - On top of compacted hardcore base, put pegs at reasonable intervals to mark the proposed level of lean concrete.
 - Casting lean concrete on top of compacted hardcore base and level the lean concrete surface.
 - Surveyor to peg and mark proposed drain centerline on lean concrete.
 - Drain edge line is established and marked on the lean concrete as well.
- d) Construction of drain base slab, wall and top slab.
 - Deploy excavator with LM to hoist down the prefabricated rebars for drain base slab, and commence the rebar tying and fabrication of formworks according to approved construction drawing.
 - Request RTO for inspection before casting of base slab. Further to order for concrete from approved concrete plant once the inspection is passed, and complete the concreting of base slab.
 - Dismantle base slab formworks on the following day.
 - Install drain channels (invert level of the drain channels to be checked) and cast benching with mass concrete. The grade of benching is as indicated in construction drawing.



C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

- Steel reinforcement and formwork of drain wall are then installed. Mark the required drain top level.
- Steel reinforcement is as indicated in construction drawing. Request RTO for inspection before closing formwork (external side). Ensure aluminum rung is installed at distance and interval indicated in construction drawing.
- Ensure weep hole is installed at distance and interval indicated in construction drawing as well. The diameter of weep hole is indicated in construction drawing.
- Once drain wall rebar inspection is cleared, proceed to close the remaining formwork.
- Cast concrete of drain wall using appropriate grade. Engine vibrator is used during concreting to ensure evenly distribution of concrete within formwork.
- The level of concrete has to match with the drain top slab (mesh/ rebar anchorage) marked previously.
- Dismantling of internal drain wall formwork on the following day.
- Plaster the drain inner wall to seal up the opening of formwork tie.
- Apply curing compound to the freshly done concrete structure.
- Erection of falsework and formwork for the drain top slab.
- Installation of rebar/ mesh for drain top slab including the grating frame.
- Request RTO for inspection before casting of top slab. Further to order for concrete from approved concrete plant once the inspection is passed, and complete the concreting of top slab.
- Drain top slab to be broom finished; tactile tiles (if any) and expansion joints to be installed before the concrete set.
- Dismantling of external drain wall formwork on the following day.
- Falsework to be maintained for supporting the top slab for 7 days before dismantling.
- e) Drain wall treatment and backfilling
 - Installation of geo-composite on both side of drain outer wall before backfilling both side of drain with soil.
 - The soil backfilled at both sides of drain shall be at least 50mm lower to prevent soil being washed into drain.
 - Backfill both sides of completed drain wall with earth and immediately compact the ground using roller.
 - Reinstate slope at both sides of drain to required level, alignment and gradient.
 - Turf the ground.
 - Repeat whole process for next stretch of U drain.





f) Typical work sequence for RCU construction Generally, RCU construction is consists of setting out and excavation, preparation of drain base, structural works for drain base slab, drain wall and drain top slab and finally the drain wall treatment plus backfilling. The preparation of drain base until the completion of drain top slab is illustrated as Figure 1.



Figure 1: Typical RCU construction work sequence



C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

5.2 Construction of RCS

- a) Setting out of sump position
 - Surveyor to mark the center point of proposed RC sump.
- b) Excavation of sump
 - Excavator operator to excavate the ground to required level, i.e. the hardcore base level.
 - Ensure the proposed sump area is excavated to sufficient width and depth.
 - The opening excavated shall be large enough for the construction of sump including working space.
 - Supervisor to check the opening size and ditch level.
 - Implement earth retaining stability structure (ERSS) when the depth is more than 1.5m. Contractor have to implement the approved ERSS on site to ensure the stability of soil at all sides of sump ditch.
 - Cut the ground to form the ERSS profile. All the excavated soil is loaded onto tipper truck and send to approved dumping ground.
 - Barricade the sump ditch and safety signage is put up.
- c) Preparation of sump base
 - Compact the hardcore base level by using 1-tonne roller
 - On top of the compacted ground, put pegs to mark the proposed level of hardcore base.
 - Lay hardcore base and compact it using 1-tonne roller. The hardcore base after compaction shall be the stipulated thickness as of drawings.
 - On top of compacted hardcore base, put pegs to mark the proposed level of lean concrete.
 - Casting lean concrete on top of compacted hardcore base and level the lean concrete surface.
 - Surveyor to peg and mark proposed sump on lean concrete.
- d) Construction of sump base slab, wall and top slab
 - Fabricate rebar and formwork for sump base and wall.
 - Request RTO for inspection before casting of base slab. Further to order for concrete from approved concrete plant once the inspection is passed, and complete the concreting of base slab.
 - Dismantle base slab formworks on the following day; fabricate rebar and formwork for sump wall.
 - Install drain channels and cast benching with mass concrete. The grade of benching is as indicated in construction drawing.
 - Steel reinforcement and formwork of sump wall are then installed. Mark the required sump top level.
 - Steel reinforcement is as indicated in construction drawing. Request RTO for inspection before closing formwork (external side). Ensure aluminum rung is installed as indicated in construction drawing.
 - Install 75mm pvc pipe at 1m c/c staggered for week hole.
 - Once drain wall rebar inspection is cleared, proceed to close the balance formwork.



C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

- Cast concrete of drain wall using appropriate grade. Engine vibrator is used during concreting to ensure evenly distribution of concrete within formwork.
- The level of concrete has to match with the sump top slab (mesh/ rebar anchorage) marked previously.
- Dismantling of internal drain wall formwork on the following day.
- Plaster the drain inner wall to seal up the opening of formwork tie.
- Apply curing compound to the freshly done concrete structure.
- Erection of falsework and formwork for the sump top slab.
- Installation of rebar/ mesh for drain top slab including the grating frame.
- Request RTO for inspection before casting of top slab. Further to order for concrete from approved concrete plant once the inspection is passed, and complete the concreting of top slab.
- Sump top slab to be broom finished; tactile tiles (if any) and expansion joints to be installed before the concrete set.
- Dismantling of external drain wall formwork on the following day.
- Falsework to be maintained for supporting the top slab for 7 days before dismantling.
- Depending on the height of sump wall, it may require more than one operation to cast the sump wall up to top slab soffit level.
- e) Sump wall treatment and backfilling
 - Installation of geo-composite on both side of drain outer wall before backfilling both side of sump with soil.
 - The soil backfilled at both sides of sump shall be at least 50mm lower.
 - Backfill both sides of completed sump wall with earth and immediately compact the ground using roller.
 - Reinstate slope at both sides of sump to required level, alignment and gradient.
 - Turf the ground.
 - Repeat whole process for next sump.



HUATIONG CONTRACTOR PTE LTD C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

f) Typical work sequence for RCS construction

Generally, RCS construction is consists of setting out and excavation, preparation of sump base, structural works for sump base slab, sump wall and sump top slab and finally the sump wall treatment plus backfilling. The preparation of sump base until the completion of sump top slab is illustrated as Figure 2.



Figure 2: Typical RCS construction work sequence



C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

5.3 Construction of RCBC

- a) Setting out of RCBC alignment
 - Surveyor to identify the box culvert location on site. The locations are marked on site using timber peg.
- b) Excavation of RCBC
 - Excavator to excavate the box culvert trench up to the hardcore base level.
 - Ensure the proposed box culvert area is excavated to sufficient width and depth.
 - Implement earth retaining stability structure (ERSS) when the depth is more than 1.5m. Contractor have to implement the approved ERSS on site to ensure the stability of soil at both sides of box culvert trench.
 - Cut the ground to form the ERSS profile. All the excavated soil is loaded onto tipper truck and send to approved dumping ground.
 - Barricade the box culvert trench and safety signage is put up.
- c) Preparation of RCBC base
 - Compact the hardcore base level by using 1-tonne roller
 - On top of the compacted ground, put pegs at reasonable intervals to mark the proposed level of hardcore base.
 - Lay hardcore base and compact it using 1-tonne roller. The hardcore base after compaction shall be the stipulated thickness as of drawings.
 - On top of compacted hardcore base, put pegs at reasonable intervals to mark the proposed level of lean concrete.
 - Casting lean concrete on top of compacted hardcore base and level the lean concrete surface.
 - Surveyor to peg and mark proposed RCBC centerline on lean concrete.
 - RCBC edge line is established and marked on the lean concrete as well.
- d) Construction of RCBC base slab, wall and top slab
 - Deploy excavator with LM to hoist down the prefabricated rebars for box culvert base slab, and commence the rebar tying and fabrication of formworks according to approved construction drawing.
 - Request RTO for inspection before casting of base slab. Further to order for concrete from approved concrete plant once the inspection is passed, and complete the concreting of base slab.
 - Dismantle base slab formworks on the following day.
 - Install drain channels (invert level of the drain channels to be checked) and cast benching with mass concrete. The grade of benching is as indicated in construction drawing.
 - Steel reinforcement and formwork of box culvert wall are then installed. Mark the required box culvert top level.
 - Steel reinforcement is as indicated in construction drawing. Request RTO for inspection before closing formwork (external side).
 - Ensure weep hole is installed at distance and interval indicated in construction drawing as well. The diameter of weep hole is indicated in construction drawing.
 - Once box culvert wall rebar inspection is cleared, proceed to close the remaining formwork.



C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

- Installation of corbel formwork and rebar (box culvert rebar anchorage to be installed as well). Request RTO for inspection before casting box culvert wall and corbel.
- Cast concrete of box culvert wall and corbel by using appropriate grade. Engine vibrator is used during concreting to ensure evenly distribution of concrete within formwork.
- The level of concrete has to match with the corbel top level.
- Dismantling of drain wall formwork (internal and external side of box culvert) on the following day.
- Plaster the drain inner wall to seal up the opening of formwork tie.
- Apply curing compound to the freshly done concrete structure.
- Erection of falsework and formwork for the box culvert top slab.
- Installation of rebar for box culvert top slab, including the galvanized dowel bars.
- Request RTO for inspection before casting of top slab. Further to order for concrete from approved concrete plant once the inspection is passed, and complete the concreting of top slab.
- Dismantling of external box culvert wall formwork on the following day.
- Falsework to be maintained for supporting the top slab for 7 days before dismantling.
- e) RCBC wall treatment and backfilling
 - Installation of geo-composite on both side of drain outer wall before backfilling both side of sump with soil.
 - Backfill both sides of box culvert wall with quarry dusts and compacted it using 10-tonne roller.
 - The filling of quarry dusts shall stop at bottom of graded aggregate bottom below the approach slab.
 - The alignment of approach slab on compacted quarry dusts is established.
 - Excavator operator to top up graded aggregate and the aggregate shall be well compacted by 10-tonne roller. The final thickness of compacted aggregate shall be 250mm.
- f) Construction of approach slab
 - Installation of geo-textile on top of the compacted graded aggregate, installation of building paper and neoprene pad.
 - Installation of rebar and fabrication of formwork for approach slab.
 - Request RTO for inspection before casting of approach slab. Further to order for concrete from approved concrete plant once the inspection is passed, and complete the concreting of base slab.
 - Use concrete vibrator during concrete casting to ensure the concrete is poured evenly and thoroughly within formwork.
 - Dismantling of formwork on the next day.
 - Repeat the above steps for construction of next stretch of box culverts.



HUATIONG CONTRACTOR PTE LTD C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

g) Typical work sequence for RCBC construction

Generally, RCBC construction is consists of setting out and excavation, preparation of box culvert base, structural works for box culvert base slab, box culvert wall and box culvert top slab and finally the box culvert approach slab. The preparation of box culvert base until the completion of box culvert approach slab is illustrated as Figure 3.



Figure 3: Typical RCBC construction work sequence



C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

5.4 Construction of Trapezoidal drain

- a) Setting out of trapezoidal drain alignment
 - Surveyor to identify the drain location on site. The locations are marked on site using timber peg.
- b) Excavation of Trapezoidal Drain trench
 - Excavator to excavate the trapezoidal drain trench up to the hardcore base level.
 - Ensure the proposed drain area is excavated to sufficient width and depth.
 - Implement earth retaining stability structure (ERSS) when the depth is more than 1.5m. Contractor have to implement the approved ERSS on site to ensure the stability of soil at both sides of drain trench.
 - Cut the ground to form the ERSS profile. All the excavated soil is loaded onto tipper truck and send to approved dumping ground.
 - Barricade the drain trench and safety signage is put up.
- c) Preparation of drain base
 - Compact the hardcore base level by using 1-tonne roller
 - On top of the compacted ground, put pegs at reasonable intervals to mark the proposed level of hardcore base.
 - Lay hardcore base and compact it using 1-tonne roller. The hardcore base after compaction shall be the stipulated thickness as of drawings.
 - On top of compacted hardcore base, put pegs at reasonable intervals to mark the proposed level of lean concrete.
 - Ensure weep hole and geo-textile are installed at distance and interval indicated in construction drawing as well. The diameter of weep hole is indicated in construction drawing.
 - Casting lean concrete on top of compacted hardcore base and level the lean concrete surface.
 - Surveyor to peg and mark proposed drain centerline on lean concrete.
 - Drain edge line is established and marked on the lean concrete as well.
- d) Construction of trapezoidal drain concrete lining (without access)
 - Deploy excavator with LM to hoist down the welded mesh (BRC) for drain concrete lining according to approved construction drawing.
 - Install drain channels (invert level of the drain channels to be checked); benching to be cast together with the concrete lining.
 - Request RTO for inspection before casting of concrete lining. Further to order for concrete from approved concrete plant once the inspection is passed, and complete the concreting of concrete lining.
- e) Construction of trapezoidal drain concrete lining and slope (with access).
 - Deploy excavator with LM to hoist down the welded mesh (BRC) for drain concrete lining according to approved construction drawing.
 - Steps to be formed by using formwork and secured at both edge of the steps; rebar to be installed as of construction drawings.
 - Install drain channels (invert level of the drain channels to be checked); benching to be cast together with the concrete lining.
 - Request RTO for inspection before casting of concrete lining. Further to order for



C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

concrete from approved concrete plant once the inspection is passed, and complete the concreting of concrete lining.

- f) Railing and turfing works
 - Installation of Type-B railing as stipulated in the construction drawing.
 - The soil to be backfilled and compacted by using 1-tonne roller.
 - Reinstate slope at both sides of drain to required level, alignment and gradient.
 - Turf the ground.
 - Repeat whole process for next stretch of Trapezoidal Drain.
- g) Typical work sequence for Trapezoidal Drain construction

Generally, Trapezoidal construction is consisting of setting out and excavation, preparation of drain base, structural works for drain concrete lining and finally the finishing works. The preparation of trapezoidal drain base until the completion of trapezoidal drain is illustrated as Figure 4.



Figure 4: Typical Trapezoidal Drain construction work sequence



C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

5.5 Construction of C7 drain, silt trap and cascade drain

There are C7 drains to be constructed after the plot trimmed into desired profile. Contractor will either fabricate the precast C7R drain or directly purchase from suppliers.

- Contractor shall prepare sufficient steel mould of C7R.
- Precast C7R drain daily with stipulated concrete grade. Request RTO to witness the casting regularly.
- Contractor shall include BRC A5 in precast C7R drain. Refer to Figure 5 for detail of precast C7R drain.



Figure 5: Detail of Precast C7R



C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

C7 drain construction is shown as below:

- a) Setting out of C7 drain alignment
 - Surveyor to identify the drain location on site. The locations are marked on site using timber peg.
- b) Excavation of C7 trench
 - Supervisor to identify the excavation depth from existing ground level to sand base of precast C7R.
 - Open cut method with 1:1 slope is adopted to excavate the trench.
 - Excavator operator to excavate the ground to desired level.
- c) Preparation of drain base
 - Compact the ground using 1-tonne roller.
 - Supervisor to check the level. If the level is higher than desired level, instruct excavator operator to trim ground to desired level; if level is lower than desired level, top up the ground with unwashed sand.
 - Lay 50mm thick sand as of Figure 6.



Figure 6: Laying of sand for C7 drain

- Compact the sand base again using 1-tonne roller.
- Ensure the stretch of sand base is in required gradient. The sand base level shall be as precise as possible as it will affect the invert level.



HUATIONG CONTRACTOR PTE LTD C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

- d) Installation of C7 drain
 - Excavator with LM certificate is deployed to lift and lay the precast C7R drain on sand base.
 - Supervisor to ensure the precast drain is placed correctly on the proposed alignment.
 - Seal up the gap between precast drains with 1:3 cement mortar as of Figure 7.



Figure 7: Laying of C7 drain

- e) Backfilling for C7 drain line.
 - Backfill both side of precast C7R.
 - Excavator operator to compact the adjacent slopes of drain, if the drain top level is lower than the platform proposed level.
 - The gradient of slope shall be 1:1 from the top of drain wall to the proposed platform level as shown in Figure 8.



Figure 8: Compaction of slope adjacent to C7 drain



C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

• Cast 50mm thick concrete as lining to slope as of Figure 9.





- Turf the adjacent slopes of drain.
- Repeat the above steps for next stretch of C7R.



C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

6 Drainage that involve over-pumping, demolition or ERSS (other than open cut)

There are several drains which require over-pumping, involve demolition works as well as different type of ERSS (other than open cut). The type of drain and its special arrangement shall be listed in Table 2.

No	Location	Road	Туре	Size (mm)	Excavation	Diversion/ Demolition
1	B3- island	Road 2	RCBC	600 x 800	Open cut	NA
2	B5-B6	Road 2	RCBC	1200 x 900	Open cut	NA
3	E2-island	Road 2	RCBC	600 x 800	Open cut	NA
4	B7-B2	Road 2	RCBC	1500 x 1300	Open cut	NA
5	B5	Road 2	RCS	1800 x 1800	Open cut	NA
6	B6	Road 2	RCS	1800 x 1800	Open cut	NA
7	B2	Road 2	RCS	2850 x 2250	Open cut	NA
8	B7	Road 2	RCS	2850 x 2250	Open cut	NA
9	B3	Kranji Road	RCS	2250 x 900	Open cut	NA
10	Island near B3	Kranji Road	RCS	900 x 900	Open cut	NA
11	E2	Kranji Road	RCS	2250 x 900	Open cut	NA
12	Island near B3	Kranji Road	RCS	900 x 900	Open cut	NA
13	D2	Future road	RCS	3000 x 2250	Open cut	NA
14	D3	Future road	RCS	3000 x 2250	Open cut	NA
15	B1-B2	Road 2	RCU	1200	Open cut	NA
16	B2-B3	Road 2	RCU	1500	Open cut	NA
17	B3-B4	Road 2	RCU	1500	Open cut	NA
18	B1-B5	Road 2	RCU	1200	Open cut	NA
19	B6-B7	Road 2	RCU	1200	Open cut	NA
20	B7-E2	Road 2	RCU	1500	Open cut	NA
	E1 E2	Kranii Road	RCU	1500	Shoring	Over-pumping
21	E1-E2	Kianji Koad	Ree	1500	excavation	Over-pullipling
21 22	B6-C4	Int. driveway	RCU	600	excavation Open cut	NA
21 22 23	B6-C4 B5-C1	Int. driveway	RCU RCU RCU	600 600	excavation Open cut Open cut	NA NA
21 22 23 24	B6-C4 B5-C1 B6-C4	Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway	RCU RCU RCU	600 600 600	excavation Open cut Open cut Open cut	NA NA NA
21 22 23 24 25	B6-C4 B5-C1 B6-C4 C4-C5	Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway	RCU RCU RCU RCU	600 600 600 800	excavation Open cut Open cut Open cut Open cut Open cut	NA NA NA NA NA
21 22 23 24 25 26	B6-C4 B5-C1 B6-C4 C4-C5 C5-C2	Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway	RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU	600 600 600 800 800	excavationOpen cutOpen cutOpen cutOpen cutOpen cutOpen cut	NA NA NA NA NA NA
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	B6-C4 B5-C1 B6-C4 C4-C5 C5-C2 B5-C1	Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway	RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU	600 600 600 800 800 600	excavation Open cut Open cut Open cut Open cut Open cut Open cut	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	B6-C4 B5-C1 B6-C4 C4-C5 C5-C2 B5-C1 C1-C2	Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway	RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU	600 600 800 800 600 800 600 800	excavationOpen cutOpen cutOpen cutOpen cutOpen cutOpen cutOpen cutOpen cutOpen cutOpen cut	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	B6-C4 B5-C1 B6-C4 C4-C5 C5-C2 B5-C1 C1-C2 D4 end	Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Future road	RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU	600 600 600 800 800 600 800 3400	excavationOpen cutOpen cut	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	B6-C4 B5-C1 B6-C4 C4-C5 C5-C2 B5-C1 C1-C2 D4 end A1-A3	Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Future road Road 1	RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU	600 600 600 800 800 600 800 3400 900	excavationOpen cutOpen cut	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	B6-C4 B5-C1 B6-C4 C4-C5 C5-C2 B5-C1 C1-C2 D4 end A1-A3 A1-A2	Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Future road Road 1 Road 1	RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU	600 600 800 800 800 800 800 3400 900 900	excavationOpen cutOpen cut	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	B1-E2 B6-C4 B5-C1 B6-C4 C4-C5 C5-C2 B5-C1 C1-C2 D4 end A1-A3 A1-A2 F1-F2	Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Future road Road 1 Road 1 Kranji Close	RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU	600 600 600 800 800 800 3400 900 900	excavationOpen cutOpen cut	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA Oemolition and over-pumping
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	B1-E2 B6-C4 B5-C1 B6-C4 C4-C5 C5-C2 B5-C1 C1-C2 D4 end A1-A3 A1-A2 F1-F2 Island near B3	Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Future road Road 1 Road 1 Kranji Close Kranji Road	RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU	600 600 600 800 800 800 900 900 900 600	excavation Open cut	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA Demolition and over-pumping NA
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	B1-E2 B6-C4 B5-C1 B6-C4 C4-C5 C5-C2 B5-C1 C1-C2 D4 end A1-A3 A1-A2 F1-F2 Island near B3 Island near E2	Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Future road Road 1 Road 1 Kranji Close Kranji Road Kranji Road	RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU	600 600 600 800 800 600 900 900 900 600 600	excavation Open cut Open cut	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA Demolition and over-pumping NA NA
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	B6-C4 B5-C1 B6-C4 C4-C5 C5-C2 B5-C1 C1-C2 D4 end A1-A3 A1-A2 F1-F2 Island near B3 Island near E2 C2-C3	Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Future road Road 1 Road 1 Kranji Close Kranji Road Future road	RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU	600 600 600 800 800 600 800 900 900 600 600 600 800 600 800 600 600 600 600 600 600 600 600	excavationOpen cutOpen cut	NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA Demolition and over-pumping NA NA NA
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	B1-E2 B6-C4 B5-C1 B6-C4 C4-C5 C5-C2 B5-C1 C1-C2 D4 end A1-A3 A1-A2 F1-F2 Island near B3 Island near E2 C2-C3 D1-C3	Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Int. driveway Future road Road 1 Road 1 Kranji Close Kranji Road Kranji Road Future road Future road	RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU	600 600 600 800 800 600 800 900 900 900 600 600 600 800 600 600 900 900 600 600 600 600 600 7000	excavation Open cut Open cut	NA
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	B1-E2 B6-C4 B5-C1 B6-C4 C4-C5 C5-C2 B5-C1 C1-C2 D4 end A1-A3 A1-A2 F1-F2 Island near B3 Island near E2 C2-C3 D1-C3 C3-D2	Int. drivewayInt. drivewayInt. drivewayInt. drivewayInt. drivewayInt. drivewayInt. drivewayInt. drivewayInt. drivewayFuture roadRoad 1Road 1Road 1Kranji CloseKranji RoadFuture road	RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU	600 600 600 800 800 800 900 900 900 900 600 600 600 800 3400 900 9000	excavation Open cut Open cut	NA
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	B1-E2 B6-C4 B5-C1 B6-C4 C4-C5 C5-C2 B5-C1 C1-C2 D4 end A1-A3 A1-A2 F1-F2 Island near B3 Island near E2 C2-C3 D1-C3 C3-D2 D3-D4	Kranji RoadInt. drivewayInt. drivewayInt. drivewayInt. drivewayInt. drivewayInt. drivewayInt. drivewayInt. drivewayFuture roadRoad 1Road 1Road 1Kranji CloseKranji RoadFuture road	RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU RCU	600 600 600 800 800 3400 900 900 600 600 7000 7000	excavation Open cut	NA NA

 Table 2: Drainage excavation method and requirements



C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

The drainage which involve shoring excavation, over-pumping and demolition will be further explained in following sections.

6.1 Construction of 1500 RCU (E2 to E1)

There is a new 1500 RCU along Kranji Road starting from point E1 to E2 as shown in below Figure 10.



Figure 10: E2 – E1 drain line construction



•

HUATIONG CONTRACTOR PTE LTD C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

Drain line E2 to E1 shall be constructed in stages to ensure the flow of existing drain is maintained. The work sequence in stages shall be listed as below:

- Stage 1: completion of RCBC, RCS, RCU as shown in Brown line (Figure 10)
 - 1500 RCU from E2 to RCS shall be completed
 - RCBC B2 to B7 shall be completed
 - o 1500 RCU from B2 to connection of existing at B4 shall be completed
- Stage 2: construction of 1500 RCU at E2 (magenta cloud in Figure 10)
 - Existing drain flow is not disturbed, existing drainage to be maintained.
 - Existing drainage shall be utilized as part of the ERSS.
 - Open cut excavation will be used to excavate until the formation level; lean concrete berm will be cast along the existing drain as shown in Figure 11.



Figure 11: Open cut excavation with lean concrete berm

- Excavation for the drainage to proceed from inner side of the site.
- \circ Construct the 1500 RCU drain as mentioned in previous section.
- Backfilling to the level as shown in approved drainage drawing.



C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

- Stage 3: construction of 1500 RCU towards E1 (cyan cloud in Figure 10)
 - Hoarding to be shifted towards construction site temporary to facilitate the drainage construction.
 - To trigger over-pumping system (black dash line) as shown in Figure 10.
 - Over-pumping system to follow approved ECM scheme as shown in Figure 12)





- Once the over-pumping is commissioned, 1500 RCU highlighted in cyan cloud in Figure 10 will be started by demolition of existing drain line.
- Existing drain will be demolished by using excavator breaker.
- o New drain line will be established.
- Shoring work will be done prior to any excavation works for drainage.
- Steel lagging (consists of steel I-beam and 20mm thick steel plate as of Figure 13) will be installed onsite by using excavator.



Figure 13: Steel lagging shoring system

- o Excavation for the drainage to proceed from inner side of the site.
- Construct the 1500 RCU drain as mentioned in previous section.
- Backfilling to the level as shown in approved drainage drawing.



C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

- Steel beams, steel plates to be extracted for subsequent stretch of shoring ò works. • Hoarding to be reinstated. Stage 4: construction of 1500 RCU towards E1 (orange cloud in Figure 10) • Hoarding to be shifted towards construction site temporary to facilitate the drainage construction. • To trigger over-pumping system (red dash line) as shown in Figure 10. • Once the over-pumping is commissioned, 1500 RCU highlighted in orange cloud in Figure 10 will be started by demolition of existing drain line. • Existing drain will be demolished by using excavator breaker. • New drain line will be established. • Shoring work will be done prior to any excavation works for drainage. • Steel lagging (consists of steel I-beam and 20mm thick steel plate as of Figure 13) will be installed onsite by using excavator. • Excavation for the drainage to proceed from inner side of the site. • Construct the 1500 RCU drain as mentioned in previous section. • Backfilling to the level as shown in approved drainage drawing. • Steel beams, steel plates to be extracted. • Hoarding to be reinstated.
 - ERSS for drainage works shall follow the approved ERSS drawing as shown in Appendix 1.
 - ECM for drainage works shall follow the approved ECM drawing as shown in Appendix 2

C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

6.2 Construction of 900 RCU (F1 to F2)

There is a new 900 RCU along Kranji Close starting from point F1 to F2 as shown in below Figure 14.



Figure 14: F1 – F2 drain line construction

Drain line F2 to F1 shall be constructed in stages to ensure the flow of existing drain is maintained. The work sequence in stages shall be listed as below:

- Stage 1: Construction of 900 RCU (Green cloud in Figure 14)
 - To trigger over-pumping system (Black dash line as shown in Figure 14)
 - Demolition of existing drain by using excavator breaker.
 - Construction of 900 RCU as mentioned in previous section.
- Stage 2: Construction of 900 RCU (Orange cloud in Figure 14)
 - To trigger over-pumping system (Red dash line as shown in Figure 14)
 - Demolition of existing drain by using excavator breaker.
 - o Construction of 900 RCU as mentioned in previous section.
- Stage 3: Construction of 900 RCU (Cyan cloud in Figure 14)
 - To trigger over-pumping system (Magenta dash line as shown in Figure 14)
 - o Demolition of existing drain by using excavator breaker.
 - Construction of 900 RCU as mentioned in previous section.
- Stage 4: Construction of 900 RCU (Yellow cloud in Figure 14)
 - To trigger over-pumping system (Green dash line as shown in Figure 14)
 - Demolition of existing drain by using excavator breaker.
 - Construction of 900 RCU as mentioned in previous section.
- ERSS for drainage works shall follow the approved ERSS drawing as shown in Appendix 1.
- ECM for drainage works shall follow the approved ECM drawing as shown in Appendix 2



HUATIONG CONTRACTOR PTE LTD C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

Appendix 1: ERSS for drainage works



INSTRUMENTATION & LAYOUT PLAN

SCALE: N.T.S

		Open Cut E	Excavation Up to 4m
os.	Monitoring Frequency	Alert Level	Work Suspension Level
4	Twice Weekly (During Excavation Works) OR Once Weekly (Where no excavation works)	11mm	15mm

01 Sheet of 03 BUILDING CONSTRUCTION AUTHORITY PROVED UNDER SECTION 5 / SECTION 5A OF THE

BUILDING CONTROL ACT (CHAPTER 29) Project Ref. No.: E2990-00005-2019-ST100 for Commissioner of Building Control



STANDARD CERTIFICATION BY THE QUALIFIED PERSON FOR STRUCTURAL WORKS

lation 9 of The Building In accordance with Regulation 9 of The Building Control Regulations, VINCENT LIM WFUNUM, the Qualified Person for structural works appoint under section 8(1)(a) or 11(1)(d)(i) of the Building Control Act, hereby subm detailed structural plans and design calculations prepared by me and certify that they have been prepared in accordance with the provisions of the Building Control Regulations, the Building Control Act and any other written law pertaining to buildings and construction for the time being in force.

I further certify that these detailed structural plans and design lations are in reference to Project Ref. No: E2990-00005-2019-ST100

Total number of structural plans submit total number of pages of design calculatio 309

ELONA ! CIVIL VINCENT LIN WENJUN 4794 SAGA PORE

QUALIFIED PERSON FOR STRUCTURAL WORKS' SIGNATURE AND STAMP)

29 MAY 2020

VEngineers Disclaimer:

Uscamer: This drawing is produced and issued under specific request of our client in connection with the captioned project. It should not be used for any other purposes by any other party without permission from Vengineers. VEngineers accepts no responsibility for the consequences of this drawing being used other party for any other purpose(b, or containing any enrol(s) and/or omission(s) in the information given to VEng horhbar particle in the constance of the information given to VEng horhbar particle and the purpose(b, or containing any enrol(s) and/or omission(s) in the information given to VEng

© The content in this drawing is owned by VEngineers, subject to copyright and their intellectual property rights under the law. No one shall copy, reproduce, stibituble, or otherwise exploit any of the content in part or whole without prior written consent of VEngineer's permission. VEngineers shall reserve the right ake legal action against any illegal or unauthorized use of the content in the legal action against any illegal or unauthorized use of the content.

29.05.2020	Note for Structural Steel Works
DATE	DESCRIPTION



JTC CORPO

The JTC Summit 3 Jurong Town Hall



HUATIONG CONTRACTOR PTE I TD (A subsidiary of Huati No. 9 Benoi Crescent Singapore 629972 Fax: (65) 6368 139

ARCHITECTURAL CONSULTANTS 2

CPG CONSULTANTS PTE LTD WESTGATE TOWER, 1 GATEWAY DRIVE #23-01, SINGAPORE 608531 CONSULTANTS TEL: 6357-4471 EMAIL:gabriel.ant

FAX: 6357-439

CIVIL AND STRUCTURAL CONSULTANTS

Vingineers CIVIL | STRUCTURAL | GEOTECHNICAL

ADD: 18 SIN MING LANE, MIDVIEW CITY, #08-04 SINGAPORE 573960 TEL: 6717-8999 EMAIL: admin@vengineers.com.sg

ROJECT TITLE:

EARTHWORKS AND INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AGRI-FOOD INNOVATION PARK

DRAWING TITLE:	
SITE LAYOUT AND	
INSTRUMENTATION PLAN	

DESIGNED BY: REVIEWED BY:		
MKN	VL	
DRAWN BY: MKN	DATE: 29 MAY 2020	
SCALE: AS SH	IOWN	
BRAWING NO.: 80238_HUATIONG_KRANJI	AFIP_ST100_S01 1	


ERSS Sequence for Construction and Installation of Drainage (Maximum Depth = 2.6m)

- 1. Carry out localized open-cut excavation with gradient of 1 : 1 up to maximum depth of 2.6m.
- 2. Construct and install the drainage.
- 3. Backfill and compact earth to required platform level.

ERSS Sequence for Construction and Installation of Drainage (Maximum Depth = 3.2m)

- 1. Carry out localized open-cut excavation with gradient of 1 : 1.5 up to maximum depth of 3.2m.
- Construct and install the drainage.
- 3. Backfill and compact earth to required platform level.

ERSS Sequence for Construction and Installation of Drainage (Maximum Depth = 4m)

- 1. Carry out localized open-cut excavation with gradient of 1 : 1.5 up to maximum depth of 2m.
- 2. Set back 1m on each slope and continue to excavate with gradient of 1:1 up to maximum the depth of 4m from ground surface.
- 3. Construct and install the drainage.
- 4. Backfill and compact earth to required platform level.

Open Cut Excavation for Construction and Installation of Drainage (Max. Depth =4.0m) Scale: N.T.S





Excavation for Construction and Installation of Drainage Next to Existing Drain (Max. Depth =2.6m) Scale: N.T.S

,400 x 200 x 75.5 kg/m UC (Excavation Side) (Excavation Side) (Earth Side) 1500 1500 BH-13 STEEL PLATE LAGGING ∇ THICK 20mm SOLDIER PILE 1000 (Earth Side) SOLDIER PILE AND STEEL Made Ground PLATE LAGGING DETAIL SPT N = 55000 1000 c_u = 25; c' = 3; Ø' = 30° 20mm Thick MS Plate Lagging 500 3000 Sandy Silt 3000 400 x 200 x 75.5 kg/m UC as Soldier SPTN = 6Pile @1.5m C/C Spacing c_u = 30; c' = 1; Ø' = 31° ERSS Sequence for Construction and Installation of Drainage (Maximum Depth = 3.2m) Install the soldier pile and steel plate lagging. 1. Carry out localized excavation with gradient of 1 : 1 up to maximum depth of 1m on earth side of the 2. soldier pile system. Carry out localized excavation with gradient of 1:1.5 up to maximum depth of 3.2m on excavation 3. side of the soldier pile system. 4. Construct and install the drainage. Shoring Excavation for Construction and Installation of Drainage (Max. Depth =3.2m) Backfill and compact earth to required platform level. 5. Scale: N.T.S Extract the soldier pile and steel plate lagging. 6.

ERSS Sequence for Construction and Installation of Drainage Next to Existing Drain (Maximum Depth = 2.6m)

- 1. Carry out localized open-cut excavation with gradient of 1 : 1 up to maximum depth of 1.0m on earth side of the existing drain.
- 2. Carry out localized open-cut excavation with gradient of 1:1 up to maximum depth of 2.6m on the excavation side of the existing drain, maintain a soil berm of 1m height and gradient of 1:1.
- 3. Cast a layer of 150mm thick lean concrete on the slope of soil berm.
 - 4. Construct and install the drainage.
 - 5. Backfill and compact earth to required platform level.

03 Sheet of 03 BUILDING CONSTRUCTION AUTHORITY ROVED UNDER SECTION 5 / SECTION 5A OF THE BUILDING CONTROL ACT (CHAPTER 29) Project Ref. No.: A2990-00005-2019-ST100 ioner of Building Control for Commiss 202011489 Building STANDARD CERTIFICATION BY THE QUALIFIED PERSON FOR STRUCTURAL WORKS In accordance with Regulation 9 of The Building Control Regulati VINCENT LIM WRUNU, the Qualified Person for structural works appunder section 8(1)(a) or 11(1)(d)(i) of the Building Control Act, hereby s the detailed structural plans and design calculations prepared by mea-centify that they have been prepared in accordance with the provisions on Building Control Regulations, the Building Control Act, and any other win law pertaining to buildings and construction for the time being in force. I further certify that these detailed structural plans and design ulations are in reference to Project Ref. No: E2990-00005-2019-ST10 Total number of structural plans sub otal number of pages of design calcul CIVIL VINCENT LIN WENJU 4794 SAGA PORE 27 APRIL 2020 QUALIFIED PERSON FOR STRUCTURA WORKS' SIGNATURE AND STAMP) DATE /Engineer This drawing is p DATE DESCRIPTION The JTC Summit 8 Jurong Town Hall Roa Singapore 609434 itc HUATIONG CONTRACTOR PTE I TO (A subsidiary of Huationg Global No. 9 Benoi Crescent Singapore 629972 Tel: (65) 6366 5005 Fax: (65) 6368 1391 AIN CONSULTAN P CPG CONSULTANTS PTE LTD WESTGATE TOWER, 1 GATEWAY DRIVE #23-01, SINGAPORE 608531 CPG TEL: 6357-4471 FAX: 6357-439 CIVIL AND STRUCTURAL CONSULTANTS **V**ingineers CIVIL | STRUCTURAL | GEOTECHNICAL ADD: 18 SIN MING LANE, MIDVIEW CITY, #08-04 SINGAPORE 573960 TEL: 6717-8999 EMAIL: admin@vengineers.com.sg ROJECT TITLE EARTHWORKS AND INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AGRI-FOOD INNOVATION PARK AWING TITLE ERSS DETAIL AND CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE VL MKN 27 APRIL 2020 мки AS SHOWN

RAWING NO: 80238_HUATIONG_KRANJI AFIP_ST100_S03 REV: 0



HUATIONG CONTRACTOR PTE LTD C190154T00 – PROPOSED EARTHWORKS AND CONSTRUCTION OF INFRASTRUCTURE AT KRANJI AFIP

Appendix 2: ECM for drainage works



APPENDIX A

Method Statement for Cast In-Situ Drainage Works

Source: Huationg



2. Estimated Maxiumum Sediment Load (based on max allowable 50mg/L)



Option 1: Sediment Load Estimation based in 1 in 10 years return period (refer to PUB COP): Rainfall Intensity= Refer to PUB COP, Assume runoff coefficient=0.45:

Assume runon coemcient-0.45.					
Discharge Point 1	Area (ha)				
A1	1.5				
A2	1.7				
A4	0.8				
A5	0.75				
A6	0.76				
A7	0.6				
A8	0.72				
A21	0.2				
TOTAL	7.03				
Peak Runoff, Q	1.802	m ³ /s			

	A	1
Discharge Point 2	Area (na)	
A3	3.8	
A10	2.1	
A10-2	0.44	
A10-3	0.47	
A10-4	2.23	
A10-5	0.74	
A10-6	0.57	
A10-9	0.2	
A19	0.8	
TOTAL	11.35	
Peak Runoff, Q	2.796	m³/s

Option 2: Sediment Load Estimation based on Meteorological Data: Use rainfall intensity=59.2mm/hr,

Assume runoff coefficient=0.45:						
Discharge Point 1	Area (ha)					
A1	1.5					
A2	1.7					
A4	0.8					
A5	0.75					
A6	0.76					
A7	0.6					
A8	0.72					
A21	0.2					
TOTAL	7.03					
Peak Runoff, Q	0.52	m³/s				

Discharge Point 2	Area (ha)	
A3	3.8	
A10	2.1	
A10-2	0.44	
A10-3	0.47	
A10-4	2.23	
A10-5	0.74	
A10-6	0.57	
A10-9	0.2	
A19	0.8	
TOTAL	11.35	
Peak Runoff, Q	0.84	m³/s

Estimated Sediment Load discharge:

Discharge Point 1:					
1.802 m3/s x	1000 L/m ³ x	50 mg/L x 10 ⁻⁶	kg/mg	=	0.0901 kg/s

Discharge Point 2:

2.796 m3/s x 1000 L/m³ x 50 mg/L x 10⁻⁶ kg/mg = 0.1398 kg/s 0.2299 kg/s

Estimated Sedimen	it Load discharg	e:		
0.522/	1000 1/m ³	50 mg/l 10 ⁻⁶ lig/m		0.026 1.0/0
0.52 m3/s x	1000 L/m x	50 mg/L x 10 kg/m	g =	0.026 kg/s
Discharge Point 2:				
0.84 m3/s x	1000 L/m³ x	50 mg/L x 10 ^{-o} kg/m	g =	0.042 kg/s
				0.068 kg/s
			=	244.8 kg/hr

05 Sep 2022

APPENDIX C

Photo Log

20434030-R002-Rev6













APPENDIX D

References



Deltares. (2019). SOBEK Manual, 1D/2D modelling suite for integral water solutions.

Deltares. (2021). D-Water Quality. Deltares.

Public Utilities Board. (2018). Code of Practice on Surface Water Drainage. Singapore: PUB.

Soulsby R. L. (1997). Dynamics of Marine Sands.

U.S. Geological Survey. (2008). U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigation Report 2008-5093. Retrieved from USGS: https://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2008/5093/table7.html



APPENDIX E

Chain of Custody Documentation and Laboratory Reports



Amlab An Interplex Company

Amlab Services Pte Ltd

CHAIN OF CUSTODY DOCUMENTATION

Company:	Golder	Golder Associates			pling Bottles:		
Project ID:	20434030			# Glass			
PO. No.:	-			Plastic			
Material:	Noter Boom Temperature			+ Sterile			
Storage Condition:							
Sample ID	Sampling	Sampling	Test	Total	Remarks		

	Depth	Date	Time	Parameters	Bottles	Kemarks
8001	/	23/2/2021	0945	TSS	1	/
8002		23/2/2021	0945	TSS	1	
803	1	23/2/2021	10 30	TSS		1
		Not	NG AU	m		
	-					
	-					
	1 1					
	1					

			-
			-
			-
			-
			-
Relinquished By (Name): (They Chong Kigt	Passi is in 10	12 -
Date: 23/2/2021	Time: 11 30	Received By (Name):	in
Relinquished By (Name)	Times	Date: 15 7 20 Times U - 31	50
Date:	Trima	Received By (Name):	
Date:	Time.	Date:	
		20 27	



Relinquished By (Name): Chua Chong Kint			Received By (Name): Tan Im Ilan I			
Date:	1213 20201	Time: 1930	Date: 12 3 2021	Time: Jilt and		
Relinquis	hed By (Name):		Received By (Name):			
Date:		Time:	Date:	Time:		

JAJ



Report No	\$	AL21020892 - 1 /	3					
Company Name	8	Golder Associates	older Associates Singapore Pte Ltd					
Address		18, Ah Hood Road #10-51, Hiap Hoe Singapore 329183	d Bui 3	lding		Page 1 of 1	8	
Our Reference	•					Your reference	ə:	
Date Received	Ę.	23-Feb-21				Date Report	: 01-Mar-21	
Date Tested		23-Feb-21	t	0	26-Feb-21			
Sample Description	:	One (1) water sam Project ID: 20434 8001 Sampling Date: 2	nple 030 3/02	e was rec) 2/2021, ¹	ceived with following ma	ırking:		

Test Item	Result	Unit	Test Method
Total Suspended Solid	29	mg/l	APHA 2540 D

Note: (1) < = Less than

..... WIlliam Pang **Deputy General Manager**



Report No	-	AL21020892 - 2 / 3					
Company Name	:	Golder Associa	Golder Associates Singapore Pte Ltd				
Address	2] ∎*	18, Ah Hood Re #10-51, Hiap H Singapore 329	oad loe Building 183		Page 1 of 1		
Our Reference	:				Your reference :		
Date Received	1	23-Feb-21			Date Report : 01-Mar-21		
Date Tested	:	23-Feb-21	to	26-Feb-21			
Sample Description	2	One (1) water sample was received with following marking: Project ID: 20434030 8002 Sampling Date: 23/02/2021, Time: 0945					

Test Item	Result	Unit	Test Method
Total Suspended Solid	Total Suspended Solid 28		APHA 2540 D

Note: (1) < = Less than

0 WIlliam Pang

Deputy General Manager



Report No	•	AL21020892 - 3 / 3					
Company Name	•	Golder Associates S	Golder Associates Singapore Pte Ltd				
Address		18, Ah Hood Road #10-51, Hiap Hoe B Singapore 329183	uilding		Page 1 of 1		
Our Reference	ĩ	> <u>==</u>		E.	Your reference	e:	
Date Received	8	23-Feb-21			Date Report	: 01-Mar-21	
Date Tested	ŝ	23-Feb-21	to	26-Feb-21			
Sample Description	ŝ	One (1) water samp Project ID: 2043403 8003 Sampling Date: 23	le was re 30 /02/2021	eceived with following ma , Time: 1030	arking:		

Test Item	Test Item Result		Test Method	
Total Suspended Solid	37	mg/l	APHA 2540 D	

Note: $(1) \leq \text{Less than}$

..... WIlliam Pang

Deputy General Manager



Report No	L21031229		
Company Name	Golder Associates Singapore	Pte Ltd	
Address	8, Ah Hood Road 10-51, Hiap Hoe Building Singapore 329183		
			Page 1 of 1
Our Reference	2		Your reference :
Date Received	2-Mar-21		Date Report : 19-Mar-21
Date Tested	2-Mar-21 to	18-Mar-21	
Sample Description	Three (3) water samples were Project ID: 20434030 Sampled Date: 12-Mar -21 1) 8004 2) 8005 3) 8006	received with following marking:	

Test Item	Result			lloit	Test Method
	(1) (2) (3)				
Total Suspended Solid	15	57	18	mg/l	APHA 2540 D

Note: (1) < = Less than

William Pang Deputy General Manager



golder.com